



Vanja Šeregelj¹, Olja Šovljanski^{1*}, Alyssa Hidalgo², Andrea Brandolini³, Vesna Tumbas Šaponjac¹, Jelena Vulić¹, Jasna Čanadanović-Brunet¹, Gordana Ćetković¹

¹University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia ²Università degli Studi di Milano, Department of Food, Environmental and Nutritional Sciences, Via Celoria 2, 20133 Milan, Italy ³Council for Agricultural Research and Economics - Centre for Animal Production and Aquaculture, viale Piacenza 29, 26900 Lodi, Italy *oljasovljanski@uns.ac.rs

INTRODUCTION

The scientific focus on pasta products has progressively moved toward the addition of natural bioactive compounds, which resulted in improved nutritional and functional properties. Carrot waste has attracted considerable attention in recent years, because of the potential health benefits of its lipophilic bioactives, mainly carotenoids and tocopherols.

EXPERIMENTAL



FDI – Freeze dried encapsulate (wall material – 100% whey protein) SDI – Spray dried encapsulate (wall material – 79% whey protein and 21% inulin)

RESULTS

Carotenoid (mg/kg DM) and tocol (mg/kg DM) content (mean value ± standard deviation) of raw and cooked control pasta and pasta enriched with encapsulated carrot waste extract.

Control 10% EDE 10% SDE 20% EDE 20% SDE

Control 10% FDF 10% SDF 20% FDF 20% SDF

| | Control | 10% FDE | 10% SDE | 20% FDE | 20% SDE | | Conitor | IU% FDE | 10% SDE | 20% FDE | 20% SDE |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Raw pasta | | | | | | Cooked pasta | | | | | |
| a-carotene | nda | 0.75 + 0.0 | 0.6 ^b + 0.0 | 1 2e + 0 1 | $1.0^{d} + 0.1$ | α-carotene | ndª | 0.5 ^b ± 0.0 | $0.6^{b} \pm 0.1$ | 0.9° ± 0.1 | $0.8^{c} \pm 0.1$ |
| R carotana | nda nda | 1.7 ± 0.0 | $1.4b \pm 0.0$ | 3.2e ± 0.3 | 1.0 ± 0.1 2.74 ± 0.3 | β-carotene | ndª. | 1.2 ^b ± 0.0 | $1.4^{b} \pm 0.2$ | $2.3^{\circ} \pm 0.3$ | 2.1 ^c ± 0.2 |
| p-carotene | 110- | $1.7^{-} \pm 0.1$ | $1.4^{-} \pm 0.0$ | 5.5-±0.5 | 2.7~±0.5 | cis β-carotene | ndª | $0.5^{b} \pm 0.0$ | $0.5^{b} \pm 0.0$ | $1.0^{c} \pm 0.1$ | $0.9^{\circ} \pm 0.1$ |
| cıs β-carotene | nd. | $0.6^{\circ} \pm 0.1$ | $0.5^{\circ} \pm 0.0$ | $1.1^{\circ} \pm 0.0$ | $1.1^{\circ} \pm 0.1$ | Lutein | $3.6^{\circ} \pm 0.2$ | $3.1^{\text{abc}} \pm 0.1$ | $3.4^{bc} \pm 0.3$ | $2.7^{a} \pm 0.2$ | $2.9^{ab} \pm 0.0$ |
| Lutein | 4.9 ± 0.0 | 3.2 ± 0.1 | 3.4 ± 0.2 | 2.8 ± 0.1 | 2.9 ± 0.0 | Zeaxanthin | 0.2 ± 0.0 | 0.1 ± 0.0 | 0.2 ± 0.0 | 0.1 ± 0.0 | 0.1 ± 0.0 |
| Zeaxanthin | 0.3 ± 0.0 | 0.1 ± 0.0 | 0.2 ± 0.0 | 0.1 ± 0.0 | 0.1 ± 0.0 | Total carotenoids | $3.8^{a} \pm 0.2$ | 4.9 ^b ± 0.1 | 6.1 ^c ± 0.6 | $7.0^{d} \pm 0.7$ | $6.8^{d} \pm 0.4$ |
| Total carotenoids | $5.2^{a} \pm 0.0$ | б.4 ^ь ± 0.3 | $6.1^{b} \pm 0.2$ | $8.5^{d} \pm 0.5$ | 7.8° ± 0.5 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | α-tocopherol | $2.9^{a} \pm 0.1$ | $16.9^{b} \pm 1.9$ | $19.3^{b} \pm 0.1$ | 31.8° ± 1.3 | $33.0^{\circ} \pm 2.3$ |
| a-tocopherol | $3.9^{a} \pm 0.3$ | $18.6^{b} \pm 0.6$ | 20.1° ± 0.5 | $40.5^{e} \pm 0.2$ | $36.9^{d} \pm 1.0$ | β-tocopherol | 2.7 ± 1.6 | 2.7 ± 0.2 | 2.4 ± 0.1 | 4.0 ± 0.7 | 2.6 ± 0.6 |
| β-tocopherol | $2.5^{a} \pm 0.4$ | 4.5 ^b ± 0.1 | $3.2^{a} \pm 0.3$ | $5.0^{b} \pm 0.8$ | $4.9^{b} \pm 0.4$ | γ-tocopherol | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| v-tocopherol | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | α-tocotrienol | 3.0 ^b ± 0.1 | $2.3^{a} \pm 0.3$ | 2.7 ^b ± 0.1 | 1.9ª ± 0.0 | $2.0^{a} \pm 0.2$ |
| a-tocotrienol | 379+03 | 2.4ab + 0.1 | 2.80+0.0 | 2 4ab + 0 1 | $2.2^{2} + 0.1$ | β-tocotrieno1 | $21.4^{\circ} \pm 1.0$ | $19.4^{ab} \pm 0.31$ | $20.3^{bc} \pm 0.0$ | 19.3 ^{ab} ± 0.3 | $18.0^{a} \pm 0.5$ |
| 0. toconicitor | 0.7 ± 0.5 | 10.13 ± 0.1 | 2.0 ± 0.0 | 10.43 ± 0.4 | 10.23 ± 0.1 | Total tocols | $30.0^{a} \pm 0.4$ | $41.2^{b} \pm 2.0$ | 44.7 ^b ± 0.2 | 57.0° ± 1.7 | 55.6° ± 2.4 |
| | $23.4^{\circ} \pm 1.3$ | $19.1^{\circ} \pm 0.1$ | $20.4^{\circ} \pm 0.5$ | $19.4^{\circ} \pm 0.4$ | 19.3° ± 0.4 | Carotenoid loss (%) | 26.9 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 12.8 |
| Total tocols | $33.6^{a} \pm 1.8$ | 44.5° ± 0.7 | 46.5° ± 0.7 | $67.2^{a} \pm 1.1$ | 63.3° ± 1.0 | Tocol loss (%) | 10.7 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 15.2 | 12.2 |

CONCLUSIONS

- Obtained encapsulates added significant α-carotene, β-carotene and *cis* β-carotene quantities to the enriched pasta, which were more stable than semolina carotenoids (lutein and zeaxanthin) during processing.
- The pasta enriched with 10% FDE/SDE had about 23% higher carotenoid contents than the control sample, while pasta with 10% FDE or SDE had 32.4% and 38.4% more tocopherols, respectively.
- Cooking reduced carotenoids content in all cases except for the pasta with 10% SDE. In terms of tocopherols, cooking led to minimal losses, particularly in the 10% encapsulate enriched pasta.

| Considering a single pasta portion (85 g cooked pasta), the 10% FDE and 10% SDE provided, respectively, 23% | and |
|---|-----|
| 25% of the RDA for carotenoids as well as 9.6% and 10.9% of RDA for vitamin E (α-tocopherol). | |